Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine

Salary Planning & Effort Reporting

October 25, 2012





Part I – Salary Planning and Distribution

Presented by: Eric Boberg, Michelle Grana and Marsha McClellan

- Introduction and principles
- Planning for 12-month appointments
- Planning for 9-month appointments

Part II – Effort Reporting

Presented by: Jennifer Wei

- Introduction and principles
- Effort Certification and commitment



Part I Presentation Overview

Introduction and Principles

Eric Boberg

 Putting Principles to Practice in 12-month appointments

Michelle Grana

 Planning for 9-month appointments and Impact of Practice

Marsha McClellan



Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine

Principals of Salary Planning

Eric Boberg, PhD

Executive Director for Research



Get it right the first time 'Cause that's the main thing Can't afford to let it pass Get it right the next time That's not the same thing It's much, MUCH Harder!

With apologies to Billy Joel





Measure Twice, Cut Once...

- Planning begins with pre-award budget
- Adjust/finalize plan with awarded budget
- When planning well, effort reporting is simple and seamless



Principles & Policies

From NIH Grants Policy Statement (10/10):

Salary and wage amounts charged to grantsupported projects for personal services must be based on an adequate payroll distribution system that documents such distribution in accordance with applicable Federal Cost Principles and consistently applied institutional policy and practices.



Key Principles

- Salary tracks with effort, but is not always equivalent
- Institutional base salary (and effort) includes both NU and NMFF
- Cost sharing (including over the cap salary) must come from non-sponsored source
- Identify cost-sharing sources early



Key Principles

- A PI's career is NOT all one big project; effort must be allocated to specific projects/activities
- Not all faculty activities can come from extramural funding:
 - Instruction
 - Administration
 - Grant writing (usually...)
- No PI should ever be 100% funded



Key Principles

- When things go wrong...
 - You can't just use up the money
 - Salary changes need scientific justification
 - Review systems may be frustrating, but they are there to ensure compliance



Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine

Putting Principles to Practice

Michelle Grana

Buehler Center on Aging, Health and Society



Putting Principles to Practice^{^{III}}

- Pre-award planning
- Post-award planning
- Dual appointments
- Cost sharing
- Practical solutions

Putting Principles to Practice

Pre-award Planning: Consider proposed commitment

- Other support
- Other commitments
 - clinical, teaching, administrative, external commitments (VA)

Putting Principles to Practice^W Post-award Planning:

- Consider proposed commitment (again)
- Consider any changes from pre-award budget
 - Reduced award?
 - Change in personnel?
 - Change in start date?
 - Change in salary?

A PI is funded for a new award from the NIH. Through routine budget cutbacks, the NIH has reduced the award by 30%. The PI has insisted he can still meet the all of the study aims within the reduced award budget.

- Do you need to do anything?
- What is the research administrator's role in advising PI's of appropriate steps?



Further complications...

Dual (NU+NMFF) appointments

Cost Sharing



NU & NMFF Appointments

- NU + NMFF = Base Salary
- Institutional base salary represents the combined salary from both NU and NMFF
- Paid by NU under common paymaster
- Number of person months represents NU effort in relation to professional effort encompassed by the dual NU and NMFF appointments



NU & NMFF Appointments

- % NMFF appointment is based on <u>clinical</u> <u>commitment</u>
 - Faculty appointment letter; other documentation of clinical commitment (clinic notes, clinical billing)
 - 2 half-days clinic = 20% NMFF appointment



NU & NMFF Appointments

- NU appointment represents total % effort available for research, teaching, administrative duties
- NMFF salary represents compensation for clinical activities and may NOT be used in payroll distribution to support cost sharing on grants



Cost Sharing

- Cost sharing occurs when a cost specifically benefits a sponsored project but is not charged to that project.
- Can be mandatory (matching funds) or voluntary (NIH cap gap)
- Most common type of cost sharing on the Chicago campus is the NIH statutory cap and the salary limitation on NIH career (K) awards

Voluntary Committed Cost Sharing: Cap Gap

- NIH statutory cap tied to Executive Level II of the Federal Executive Pay scale
- K-award salary cap typically limited to only \$75K-\$100K allowable salary recovery (for min. 75% effort)
- Commitments must be met and tracked for sponsor verification in the University's records. (Use your 192's!)

The K-award Conundrum

K Kiosk - Information about NIH Career Development Awards:

grants.nih.gov/training/careerdevelopmentawards

 Institute and Center specific information with links to appropriate websites

Career Award Wizard: grants.nih.gov/training/kwizard/index

 Designed to help your faculty identify the most appropriate Individual NIH Career Award



Practical Solutions

Worksheets for 12-month and 9-month appointments available at ASRSP website

- Plan faculty salary distribution among grant and non-grant chart strings
- Track cost sharing commitments
- Track effort commitments on sponsored projects



- Includes instruction sheet
- Unprotected Excel worksheet edit to fit needs
- Summarizes effort @ end of each quarter
- Provides guidance on NU % salary based on % NMFF appointment

Instructions - Use

- Complete specific instructions available in excel file
- Organized by three chart string categories:
 - Sponsored projects
 - Non-sponsored chart strings
 - Associated cost sharing chart strings



Features of the Tool

- Worksheet auto-calculates monthly NMFF salary
- Worksheet auto-calculates monthly % NU salary based on % committed effort
- Worksheet auto-calculates and tracks effort reporting and cost sharing
- Math checks built in ("TRUE") track monthly totals and also to confirm % base salary + % cost share = % committed effort



Employee Name:	DOE, Jane			
Worksheet Date:	12/29/2011	Annual Base Salary Monthly Base Salary		285,991.16 23,832.60
			% APPT	\$ SALARY
		NU	69.44%	198,349.80
NMFF commitment (from faculty appt letter)	(1.5 days clinic/week)	NMFF	30.56%	87,641.36
			100.00%	

	È	

Acct Short Name/Grant No.	CHART STRING	Salary Cap (Y/N)	Applicable Cap
NU GRANT CHART STRINGS			
R01 CA123456	610-5406000-60012345	Y	199,700.00
R21 HL654321	610-5406000-60054321	Y	196,700.00
Projected NEW R01	TBN (HIGH CONFIDENCE IN FUNDING)	T T	179,700.00
Non-Fed Award	650-5406000-60056789	Ν	N/A



SEPTEMBER

salary	% base	% NU	% effort	
3,328.33	13.97%	20.14%	20.00%	
1,639.17	6.88%	9.92%	10.00%	
2,383.26	10.00%	14.42%	10.00%	



Acct Short Name/Grant No. CHART STRING		SEPTEMBER				
COST SHARE CHART STRING (grant % effort = % cost share	salary % base		% NU			
Cost Share for R01CA123456	192-5406000-60022648	1,437.11	6.03%	8.69%	TRUE	
Cost Share for R21HL654321	192-5230000-60013756	743.58	3.12%	4.50%	TRUE	

Acct Short Name/Grant No.	Q1 EFFORT REPORT						
NU GRANT CHART STRIN	NU GRANT CHART STRINGS						
R01 CA123456	610-5406000-60012345	20%					
R21 HL654321	610-5406000-60054321	10%					
Projected NEW R01	TBN (HIGH CONFIDENCE IN FUNDING)						
Non-Fed Award	650-5406000-60056789	8%					
NU NON-GRANT CHART S	TRINGS						
Chair Endowment	450-5406000-40001234	10%					
Operating	110-5406000 (calculated as base salary not covered by grant + NMFF)	21%					
COST SHARE CHART STR	INGS						
Cost Share for R01CA123456	192-5406000-60022648	N/A					
Cost Share for R21HL654321	192-5230000-60013756	N/A					
Cost Share for Projected NEW R01	192-5406000-TBN (HIGH CONFIDENCE IN FUNDING)						
NMFF SALARY							
	7998-NMFF-2000	31%					
	Monthly Total	100.0%					



Summary Pages

- Provides for both quarterly and annual summaries
- Tracks annualized effort based on number of award months in fiscal year
- Use for department budget projections
- Use for projecting future sponsored awards
- Auto-calculates difference between annualized effort and committed effort

Year-end summary

		Months	Award	Award Period	Award Period	Award Period	Commit ted			
Acct Short Name/Grant No.	CHART STRING	TOTAL SALARY CHARGES	Charged	Months	% Base Salary	% NU Salary	% Effort	Effort	Project Period/ Additional Comments	Net Dif
NU GRANT CHART STRINGS										
R01 CA123456	610-5406000-60012345	39,939.96	12.00	12.00	13.97%	20.14%	20.00%	20.0%	9/1/10-8/31/15	0.0%
R21 HL654321	610-5406000-60054321	19,670.04	12.00	12.00	6.88%	9.92%	10.00%	10.0%	9/1/09-8/31/11	0.0%
Projected NEW R01	TBN (HIGH CONFIDENCE IN FUNDING)	3,328.34	2.00	2.00	6.98%	10.07%	10.00%	10.0%	projected: 7/1/11-6/30/16	0.0%
Non-Fed Award	650-5406000-60056789	16,682.82	12.00	14.00	5.00%	7.21%	5.00%	5.0%	7/1/10-6/30/12	0.0%



Sample Questions

PI's often add effort/salary for employees if they see there is money left. Is this just a matter of continually informing them that they are not to escalate expenses near the end of a grant or is there something else we can use to encourage them not to follow this practice? Also, this is often retroactive which involves 90-day letters.

Locating the answers...



- COME TO THE EXPENSE MONITORING SESSION ON THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 8TH FROM 1PM-4PM
- Meet with PI's regularly regarding budget balance and projections
- Monitor funds so that there is not a large balance or deficit at the end of the project
- Know what the non-salary funds are planned to be used for
- If the budget changes from what was planned pre-award, alert your PI that you need to revise the planned projections for the account (ie. S/he decides not to hire a support staff or it doesn't happen until 6 months into the project. Is this rebudgeting allowable? How will it be accomplished?



When?

- Pre-award
- Post-award

Special considerations?

- Dual appointments
- Cost sharing

How?

Practical solutions
Break/Questions









Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine



Part II: Effort Reporting





Part II Presentation Overview

 Why does effort reporting take so much effort?

Eric Boberg

 Effort Certification & Commitment FSM Workshop

Jennifer Wei

Why do we care about effort?

Required by Federal regulation

• OMB Circular A-21, section J.10 http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars_a021_2004#j

Documents that effort commitments have been met

- Paid effort
- Mandatory and voluntary committed cost share

Provides support for salary charged to grants & contracts

• Labor ~= 75% of direct research costs

Why is Effort Reporting Especially Tough in Medical Schools?

- No timesheets
- Inconsistent # of hours
- Varying schedules
- Differing relationships between university, practice plan, VA, etc.
- Research and clinical care can overlap
- Many faculty don't really care!

What is 100% effort?

It is the individual faculty member's effort required to meet the contracted obligations.

Usually described in appointment letter

Delineates allocation of time

TEACHING CLINIC

RESEARCH

SERVICE ADMIN

GRANT



SECTIONS

SIL





Institutional Base Salary (IBS)

Annual compensation

Paid appointment(s) including NU, NMFF, & chair/center director



Additional Points about IBS:

- Defined in appointment letter
- ✓ Consistent with the definition of 100% effort
- ✓ Salary cap may apply by certain agencies



Is NMFF salary included in IBS?

- YES, because it is:
- 1) Guaranteed by the university
- 2) **Reported** on the university's appointment form and paid by the university
- 3) **Included and accounted for** in the university's effort reports

Why Include NMFF Salary in IBS?

- Common pay master
- Included in both Proposal Submission and Effort Reporting
- Allows easier changes in effort and charging salary during year as grants begin and end
- You cannot give a raise (i.e. increase university salary) just because someone got a grant



What About the VA?

At NU, VA salary is EXCLUDED from IBS and 100% effort

Separate job, not controlled by University

VA salary is not guaranteed

Language in salary letters must be clear

What Else is Excluded from **IBS**?

Outside consulting (1 day/week per NU policy)

- •
- Private Industry Speaking honoraria NIH or other Study Sections

The K Award Blues

Usually require 75% effort





The K Award Blues

K awards have \$100,000 salary cap





\$100,000 salary can be 75% funded and fit under the cap

The K Award Blues

\$100K cap may not cover 75% of the salary





With a \$200,000 salary, cap only covers 1/2 of 75% of salary



More K Award Blues

- Effort on other grants can <u>sometimes</u> be "subsumed" in K effort;
- Unpaid commitment on another NIH grant in the same field of study (approved by the sponsor); salary paid by the K
- Must review terms/conditions of the K award
- During last 2 years of K, may be PI on a NIH project (with approval)
- Effort on non-federal grants usually excluded
- Constant pressure in some specialties for MD's to do more clinical work

What About Clinical Trials?



■You CANNOT double-dip (i.e. bill for clinical care and then charge effort to the grant/trial).

Some level of effort should be assigned to clinical trial activity, even if it is not committed or directly paid.
No clear answers



When % Effort ≠ % Salary

Salary above cap

K cap = \$100,000
Other NIH cap currently \$179,700
Both count as cost sharing



Voluntary Committed Cost Share (donated effort)

Cost sharing must be accounted for, and funding source identified at time of application

Rules of Thumb

- No PI should be 100% funded
- There is ALWAYS some NU effort that must be paid from nonsponsored accounts
- Do not use unreasonable number of hours per week to make effort numbers work
- •Clinic schedules may be discoverable
- Effort may vary within a certification period as long as overall percentages are maintained
- •Even when regulations are unclear or contradictory, you need a plausible, defensible story for how effort was arrived at
- •NIH and DOJ may disagree on interpretation; you cannot rely on the "The Project Officer said it was OK" defense